the inner side cutting-point increasing slowly in size, and the former being slightly directed towards the central tooth.

A number of intermediate teeth show a gradual reduction of the reflected portion from tricuspid to bicuspid, the median cutting-point and, more especially, the inner cutting-point increasing in length.

Marginals quadrangular, much broader than long, tridentate, the median denticle being the strongest. The eighteenth tooth sometimes with four denticles; the last with one only,

rudimentary.

Animal.—Tail rounded, slightly tapering, with a mucous tail-gland. There is a pedal line and diagonal grooves on the sides of the foot.

Note.—I also examined the dentition of Vitrina Hudsoniae, Benson, from South Africa, which proved to be a Helicarion, as I anticipated.

VI.—Preliminary Notes on the Relation between the Helicidæ of New Zealand, Tasmania, and South Africa. By HENRY SUTER, Christchurch, New Zealand.

Before entering upon the subject in question it will be necessary to say a few words as to the present classification of the New Zealand Helicidæ, which will be more or less new to most conchologists. In the "Reference List of the Land and Freshwater Mollusca of New Zealand" (Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. (2) vii. p. 633) Mr. C. Hedley and the writer classed the Helices under Zonitidæ, induced by the characters of the animal—clavate eye-peduneles, distinct pedal line, diagonal grooves on the foot, and mucous tail-gland in many of them; but, in accordance with Mr. H. A. Pilsbry and Dr. von Ihering, I am now fully convinced that the New Zealand Helicidæ are really pseudo-zonitoid mollusks.

In 1892 I sent a collection of New Zealand land-shells to Mr. H. A. Pilsbry, and the result was his article "Observations on the Helices of New Zealand," published in 'Nautilus' (vol. vi. no. 5, p. 54). With regard to the numerous genera recognized by New Zealand conchologists the author says:—"These sections or subgenera are founded upon various modifications of the shell or jaw, but they have not sufficient distinctness to rank as genera, unless we understand that term in a much more restricted sense than it has been used by the majority of conchologists or zoologists generally." He unites

the genera which formed my family Phenacohelicidæ (Trans. New Zeal. Inst. xxiv. p. 270) in one genus, Gerontia, establishing, amongst others, a section Calymna, Hutton, for the species formerly placed in the genus Amphidoxa, Hutton (not Albers), and subgenus Calymna, Hutton. For these shells, however, the name of Flammulina had been proposed in 1873 by von Martens ('Critical List of New Zeal. Moll.' p. 12), and was adopted by Mr. C. Hedley and myself in our "Reference List" (l. c. p. 643). Gerontia should therefore be replaced by Flammulina as a generic name, the former

dating from 1883.

Later on Mr. H. A. Pilsbry published his "Preliminary Outline of a new Classification of the Helices" (Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philad. 1892, p. 387 &c.), in which he unites all the sections of his former genus Gerontia (including Endodonta, Charopa, &c.) in one large genus Endodonta (l. c. pp. 401, 402). With this I cannot agree. Mr. H. A. Pilsbry was under the impression that the New Zealand Endodonta and Charopa possess a mucous tail-gland, which is not the case. I do not attach very great importance to the presence or absence of the caudal gland, as we really do not know its true significance; but in the mollusks classed under Flammulina the jaw is always stegognath, the radula is more or less pseudo-zonitoid, and, besides, a mucous tail-gland is always present; whilst in Endodonta and Charopa the jaw is only striated, the radula is much more helicoid, and there is no caudal gland. Moreover, according to the geographical distribution as now known to me, the two genera Flammulina and Endodonta (including Charopa) belong to two different types-Endodonta being of Polynesian, Flammulina of Antarctic origin. In New Zealand the Endodonta stock has been immigrating from the North, the Flammulina forms from the South and perhaps from the West and East also, or the latter may have spread from New Zealand.

These are the reasons which induce me to separate Flammulina from Endodonta, thus forming two well-defined

genera.

Following chiefly Mr. H. A. Pilsbry (l. c. pp. 401–403) I now propose the following classification of the New Zealand Helicidæ:—

Group Haplogona.

Genus 1. FLAMMULINA (v. Martens, 1873), Suter.

Sect. 1. Flammulina, von Martens, 1873, s. str. (= Amphidoxa, Hutton, not Albers).

Type: F. compressivoluta, Reeve.

Subsect. CALYMNA, Ilutton, 1884.

Type: C. costulata, Hutton.

Sect. 2. GERONTIA, Hutton, 1883.

Type: G. pantherina, Hutton.

Sect. 3. Phacussa, Hutton, 1883.

Type: P. hypopolia, Pfeiffer.

Sect. 4. THERASIA, Hutton, 1884.

Type: T. celinde, Gray.

Sect. 5. PYRRHA, Hutton, 1884.

Type: P. cressida, Hutton.

Seet. 6. PHENACOHELIX, Suter, 1891.

Type: P. pilula, Reeve.

Sect. 7. Allodiscus, Pilsbry, 1892 (= Psyra, Hutton, 1884, not Stal, 1876). Type: A. dimorphus, Pfeiffer.

Sect. 8. Suteria, Pilsbry, 1892 (=Patulopsis, Suter, not Strebel, 1879).
Type: S. ide, Gray.

Sect. 9. Thalassohelix, Pilsbry, 1892 [= Thalassia, Hutton (? and of Albers), not Thalassia, Chevrolat, 1834 (Coleopt.)].

Type: T. zelandiæ, Gray.

Genus 2. Endodonta (Albers, 1850), Suter.

Sect. I. Endodonta, Albers, 1850, s. str. (+Pitys, Pease, not Beck).

Type: E. lamellosa, Férussac (Hawaii).

Subsect. Ptychodon, Ancey, 1891 (= Huttonella, Suter, not Pfeisier,= Maoriana, Suter, 1891).

Type: P. leioda, Hutton.

Sect. 2. Charopa, Albers, 1860 (= Simplicaria, Mousson, MS.). Type: C. coma, Gray.

(a) Subsect. Tesseraria, Böttger, 1881.

Type: T. novoseclandica, Pfeisser.

(b) Subsect. Aeschrodomus, Pilsbry, 1892 (= Thera, Hutton, 1884, not Stephens, 1831).
 Type: A. stipulatus, Reeve.

Group Polyplacognatha.

Genus Laoma (Gray, 1849), Pilsbry, 1892.

Sect. 1. LAOMA, Gray, 1849, s. str.

Type: L. leimonias, Gray.

Sect. 2. Phrixgnathus, Hutton, 1883.

Type: P. Mariæ, Gray.

We can now proceed to the investigation of the Tasmanian land-molluscan fauna. Having for several years regarded the Tasmanian Helices as nearly allied to those of New Zealand, I was much pleased to find that Mr. H. A. Pilsbry held a similar opinion with regard to Patula, Paryphanta, Rhytida,

&c. ('Nautilus,' 1892, vi. p. 57). On looking through my collection of Tasmanian land-shells I fortunately found many specimens containing the dried-up animal, and these I decided to sacrifice to enable me to examine the dentition. Moreover, a short time ago Mr. W. L. May kindly assisted me by forwarding some living snails from Tasmania.

In giving the classification of some of the Tasmanian land-shells, I wish to point out that it is based on the dentition as well as on the shell-characters. The descriptions and figures of the dentition of the species will be published occasionally. The dentition of the following thirty-two

species was examined:—

Genus Flammulina (v. Mart.), Suter. Sect. Flammulina, von Martens, s. str.

(1) F. Jungermanniæ, Petterd. (3) F. Luckmani, Brazier.

(2) F. sitiens, Cox.

Sect. GERONTIA, Hutton.

(4) G, albanensis, Cox.	(10) G. tasmaniæ, Cox.
(5) G. stanleyensis, Petterd.	(11) G. subrugosa, Brazier.
(6) G. Legrandi, Cox.	(12) G. Mathinnæ, Petterd.
(7) G. Marchiannæ, Cox.	(13) G. Macdonaldi, Cox.
(8) G. diemenensis, Cox.	(14) G. Bassi, Brazier.
(9) G. gadensis, Cox.	(15) G. tamarensis, Petterd.

Sect. Phacussa, Hutton.

(16) P. Savesi, Petterd. (17) P. Stephensi, Cox. (18) P. Hamiltoni, Cox.

Sect. Allodiscus, Pilsbry.

(19) A. limula, Co.r.

Sect. Thalassohelix, Pilsbry. (20) T. Fordei, Brazier.

Genus Endodonta (Albers), Suter.

Sect. Charopa, Albers. (21) C. antialba, Beddome.

Genus Laoma (Gray), Pilsbry.

Sect. Phrixgnathus, Hutton.

(22) P. Weldii, Tenison-Woods.
(23) P. cresus, Cox.
(24) P. Henryana, Petterd.
(25) P. pipuensis, Petterd.
(27) P. pipuensis, Petterd.
(28) P. Halli, Cox.

(25) P. furneauxensis, Petterd. (29) P. Hobarti, Cox.

Genus Rhytida, Albers.

(30) R. Sinclairi, Pfeiffer.

(31) R. ruga, Car.

Genus Rhenea, Hutton.

(32) R. nelsonensis, Brazier.

It is a most astonishing tact how close the relation between the Tasmanian and New Zealand molluscan fauna really is, more so than I ever anticipated. Of nine sections of the genus Flammulina occurring in New Zealand, five are represented in Tasmania. Most remarkable is the preponderance of Gerontia, a section represented in New Zealand by two species only, and of Phrixgnathus, which is also well represented in New Zealand. A very striking feature is the almost total absence of Endodonta, the Polynesian element, there being only one species of Endodonta, s. str., and one of Charopa known from Tasmania. Rhytida is more abundant in Tasmania, whilst Rhenea is represented by two species in

With regard to the relation between the land molluscan fauna of New Zealand, Tasmania, and South Africa, I do not know much at present; yet the little knowledge available seems of great importance. The genus Erope is no doubt nearly allied to Rhytida; but the most important fact has been brought to our knowledge by the examination of the dentition and part of the animal of Pella Burnupi, M. & P. The authors of this species state that it is of allied character to P. bisculpta, Benson, the type of Pella, and the dentition given may therefore be taken as typical for the section or genus. I have studied the dentition of most of the New Zealand land and freshwater shells, and, as shown above, of a good many from Tasmania, and I may therefore be allowed to give my opinion as to the systematic position of Pella Burnupi, M. & P., and Pella generally. I have not the slightest doubt that it must be classed under Haplogona next to Flammulina.

The dentition and part of the animal which I was able to examine closely resemble those of Flammulina, s. str., and Gerontia from New Zealand and Tasmania; and I think that the South-African genus Pella belongs to the Antarctic molluscan fauna, which no doubt dates back to the Cretaceous

period at least.

There are other South-African land-shells which seem to me to be more or less closely allied to forms from New Zealand, and I hope to obtain the animals for examination.

[With regard to the genus Pella, as alluded to in the above article, we would refer our readers to the remarks made by Mr. Pilsbry in the 'Manual of Conchology,' vol. viii. pp. 135 et seq .-Eds. Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist.